

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Ground Floor, Shrama Shakti Bhavan, Patta Plaza, Panaji-Goa
Coram: Smt. Leena Mehendale, State Chief Information Commissioner

Comp. No. 37/SIC/2013
Comp. No. 38/SCIC/2013
Comp. No. 39/SIC/2013
& Comp. No. 40/SCIC/2013

Decided on: 06/01/2014

Ashok Desai,
309, 3rd floor,
Damodar Phase-II,
Near Police Station,
Margao-Goa

.....Complainant

V/s
The Public Information Officer/
Executive Engineer,
PWD, WD-XX (Water Supply),
Margao-Goa

.... Opponent

Complainant absent
PIO present

ORDER (Open Court)

This judgment disposes Complaint No. 37/SIC/2013, 38/SCIC/2013, 39/ SIC/2013, 40/SCIC/2013, together with this common Order. The Complaint No. 20/SCIC/2013 however remains for deciding independently

The Complainant and the Opponent PIO (Public Information Officer) are the same in all the 5 Complaints. The subject matter is also the same namely house No. 91 located in plot No. 267/33 of Village Nagarcem Palolem of ward No. 4 (Pansulem) in the name of Kusta Pandu Desai, r/o. H. No. 91, Katyayani Baneshwar High School, Pansulem, Canacona.

It is seen that the Complainant has filed original RTI application on 13/12/2012 which contained question No. 1 to 31 in respect of the above mentioned property. Thereafter, on the ground that the PIO did not provide information within 30 days, he filed a Complaint before the State Chief Information Commissioner on 14/02/2012 which was registered as Complaint No. 20/SCIC/2013. On the same date however he filed another RTI (Right to

...2/-

Information) application with the PIO repeating verbatim the same 1 to 31 question in respect of the same property. Then he filed the same application to the same PIO once again on 18/02/2013 and then again on 5/03/2013 and then again on 6/03/2013. Then after not receiving any reply within 30 days, he filed one Complaint each in respect of those 4 subsequent RTI applications against the PIO and they have been registered by this Office respectively under Complaint No. 37, 40, 39 & 38. Thus, I find these 4 applications as repetitive. After filing Complaint No. 20 on 13/12/2012, the Complainant need not have filed these 4 fresh RTI applications or 4 Complaint applications before me. It has resulted in simply wastage of time of various Officers, as well as of Complainant himself.

I therefore dismiss Complaint No. 37,38,39,40 being repetitive in nature as being exactly same as the one filed under Complaint No. 20/SCIC/2013. That Complaint is still under process before me and will take its own course. I must comment that henceforth the Complainant should also mention in the prayer that the Complaint filed by them before State Information Commission are not repetitive.

Above order has been passed in open court on 06/01/2014. Inform the parties

Sd/-
(Leena Mehendale)
**Goa State Chief Information Commissioner,
Panaji-Goa**

Comp. No. 155/SCIC/2011
Goa State Information Commission,
Shrama Shakti Bhavan,
Ground Floor, Patto Plaza,
Panaji-Goa.
Date:- 18/12/2013

To,
The Public Information Officer/
Director of Sports & Youth Affairs,
Campal, Panaji-Goa

NOTICE

In view of order passed in Complain No. 155/SCIC/2011 on 12.11.2012 (copy enclosed) the matter is posted for Compliance order on 27/01/2014 before State Chief Information Commissioner, you are directed to report compliance before 27/01/2014 and also remain present for hearing on 27/01/2014

(Neela Dharwadkar)
Under Secretary-cum –Registrar
Goa State Information Commission

Encl:- Copy of Order

Comp. No. 155/SCIC/2011
Goa State Information Commission,
Shrama Shakti Bhavan,
Ground Floor, Patto Plaza,
Panaji-Goa.
Date:- 01/01/2014

To,
Nitin Y. Patekar,
369, Oshalbag, Dhargal,
Pedne, Goa

Sub: Comp. 155/SCIC/2011

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of the Order dated 12/11/2013 Passed by the Commission in the above referred matter for information and necessary action at your end.

Yours faithfully

(Neela Dharwadkar)
Under Secretary-cum –Registrar
Goa State Information Commission

Encl:- Copy of Order